arXiv:1406.1372v1 [hep-th] 5 Jun 2014

Noncommutative field theory on $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$

Patrizia Vitale[a,b]

June 6, 2014

[a] *Dipartimento di Fisica Università di Napoli Federico II*
[b] *INFN, Sezione di Napoli, Via Cintia 80126 Napoli, Italy*
e-mail: patrizia.vitale@na.infn.it

Abstract

We consider the noncommutative space $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$, a deformation of the algebra of functions on $\mathbb{R}^3$ which yields a foliation of $\mathbb{R}^3$ into fuzzy spheres. We first review the construction of a natural matrix basis adapted to $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$. We thus consider the problem of defining a new Laplacian operator for the deformed algebra. We propose an operator which is not of Jacobi type. The implication for field theory of the new Laplacian is briefly discussed.

Keywords: Noncommutative quantum field theory, matrix models

1 Introduction.

There are many well known arguments which support the relevance of noncommutative geometry in physics (for a quick recollection, see for example [1]). They mainly deal with the nature of space-time at Plank scale, where the quantization of the gravitational field should become non-negligible. A very simple and beautiful argument may be found for example in [2] (also see [3]), which brings into question the smooth structure of space-time at very small scales, when both quantum mechanics and general relativity are taken into account. Space-time non commutativity emerges from very different approaches to the quantization of the gravitational field, such as Loop Quantum gravity, where a minimal value is found in the spectrum of the area operator [4], string theory, where the discovery of space-time noncommutativity in the presence of a background field gave a great boost to the research in noncommutative geometry [5] and, recently, double string theory (see [6] for a review), with space-time noncommutativity emerging from a manifestly T-dual invariance of the classical action. Moreover, it can be advocated as a regularization tool in QFT, as it was pointed out in the very beginning of quantum field theory by Heisenberg and Snyder.

The renormalization study of NCQFT (Noncommutative Quantum Field Theory) is in general difficult and is often complicated by the Ultraviolet/Infrared (UV/IR) mixing [7]. The phenomenon persists in Moyal-noncommutative gauge models and represents one of the main open problems of Moyal-based field theory. A first solution for scalar field theory was proposed in 2003 [8]. It amounts to modify the initial action with a harmonic oscillator term leading to a fully renormalisable NCQFT. This is the so called Grosse-Wulkenhaar model.

There is a large production on NCQFT with Moyal non commutativity (for a review see for example [9, 10] and references therein). In the search of renormalizable NCQFT, in this paper we pursue a different approach, which, instead of modifying the action within Moyal noncommutativity, aims at investigating different kinds of noncommutativity. It is based on a previous article of the author in collaboration with J.-C. Wallet [11] where a family of scalar quantum field theories on the noncommutative space $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$ is considered. These models have been recently extended to the gauge setting in [12]. The noncommutative algebra, known as $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$ was first introduced in [13] (also see [14]). A useful starting point consists in describing the algebra of functions on $\mathbb{R}^3$ as a sub-algebra of functions on $\mathbb{R}^4$. The map $\mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ (with zero points removed) is a fibration, with compact fiber $U(1)$. It is known in the commutative setting as the Kunstaanheimo-Stiefel map [15]. It can be dualized on using the pull-back map and a differential and integral calculus can be derived [16]. We shall follow this approach in the present paper, to perform a critical review of [11] and address some open problems which emerged in [11],[12], such as the issue of the integration measure and the algebra of derivations. An open problem in [11] was the fact that the proposed Laplacian, based on the inner derivations of the algebra, didn't describe the radial dynamics. In other terms, being constructed with derivations which in the commutative limit correspond to vector fields tangent to the spheres of the foliation, it couldn't describe in a satisfactory manner a three-dimensional dynamics. As we shall see in some detail, the problem is due to the fact that the radial derivation is not a $\star$-derivation, unless we enlarge the algebra $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$. We briefly discuss such a possibility, but we stick to the idea, already contained in [11] but not fully exploited, that the radial dynamics may be implemented by means of the multiplication by the radial coordinate x_0, without modifying the algebra. We thus propose

a new Laplacian, which implements radial dynamics. The commutative limit of our model is however still unlcear to us.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we summarize the general properties of the noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$ that will be used in this paper together with some features related to the Wick-Voros product. In section 3 we review the natural matrix basis adapted to $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$, we define an integration measure and the derivations of the algebra. In section 4 we construct a new Laplacian. We thus discuss the implications of the new Laplacian for a family of real-valued scalar actions on $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$ with quartic polynomial interactions. We conlude with a list of open problems.

2 The noncommutative algebra $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$

The noncommutative space $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$ has been first introduced in [13]. A generalization has been studied in [14]. It is a subalgebra of $\mathbb{R}^4_\theta$, the noncommutative algebra of functions on $\mathbb{R}^4 \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$ endowed with the Wick-Voros product [17]

$$\phi \star \psi\,(z_a, \bar{z}_a) = \phi(z, \bar{z}) \exp(\theta \overleftarrow{\partial}_{z_a} \overrightarrow{\partial}_{\bar{z}_a}) \psi(z, \bar{z}), \quad a = 1, 2 \tag{2.1}$$

For coordinate functions we thus have the $\star$-commutator $[z_a, \bar{z}_b]_\star = \theta \delta_{ab}$ with θ a constant, real parameter. The crucial step to obtain star products on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, hence to deform $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ into a noncommutative algebra, is to identify $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with a subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^4)$. This is achieved on identifying the coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^3$ as quadratic functions in $\bar{z}_a, z_a$

$$x_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \bar{z}^a \sigma_\mu^{ab} z^b, \quad \mu = 0, .., 3 \tag{2.2}$$

with σ_i the Pauli matrices, while σ_0 is the identity. The subalgebra polynomially generated by the coordinate functions x_μ and properly completed, is closed with respect to the Wick-Voros star product. We have indeed

$$[x_i, x_j]_\star = i\lambda \epsilon_{ij}^k x_k. \tag{2.3}$$

In order to have the right dimensions for the coordinates x_i, we choose in this paper z_a to have length dimension of $1/2$, so that we can choose $\lambda = \theta$, of length dimension 1, and there is no need to introduce other dimensional constants, differently from [11]. Notice that

$$\sum_i x_i^2 = x_0^2 \tag{2.4}$$

and x_0 star-commutes with x_i. Thus we can alternatively define $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$ as the star-commutant of x_0. It is easily verified that the induced $\star$-product for $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$ reads then

$$\phi \star \psi\,(x) = \exp\left[\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(\delta_{ij} x_0 + i\epsilon_{ij}^k x_k\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_j}\right] \phi(u)\psi(v)|_{u=v=x} \tag{2.5}$$

which gives, for coordinate functions

$$x_i \star x_j = x_i x_j + \frac{\lambda}{2}\left(x_0 \delta_{ij} + i\epsilon_{ij}^k x_k\right) \tag{2.6}$$

$$x_0 \star x_i = x_i \star x_0 = x_0 x_i + \frac{\lambda}{2} x_i \tag{2.7}$$

$$x_0 \star x_0 = = x_0(x_0 + \frac{\lambda}{2}) = \sum_i x_i \star x_i - \lambda x_0 \tag{2.8}$$

in agreement with (2.3). Here Eq. (2.4) has been used. The product is associative, since it is nothing but the Wick-Voros product expressed in different variables. The commutative limit is achieved with $\lambda \to 0$.

Let us point out that the identification of the algebra $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$ as a subalgebra of $\mathbb{R}^4_\theta$ has a geometric counterpart in the commutative setting, where the Kustaanheimo-Stiefel (KS) map [15] can be used. The main idea there, is the observation that $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{R}^4 - \{0\}$ may be given the structure of trivial bundles over spheres, being $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{0\} \simeq S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\mathbb{R}^4 - \{0\} \simeq S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^+$. Then one may use the well known Hopf fibration $\pi_H : S^3 \to S^2$, with the identification of S^3 with $SU(2)$,

$$\pi_H : s \in SU(2) \to \vec{x} \in S^2, \ : s\sigma_3 s^{-1} = x^i \sigma_i \tag{2.9}$$

where $s = y_0\sigma_0 + iy_i\sigma_i$ and y_μ are real coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^4$ such that $y_\mu y^\mu = 1$. Now one may extend (not uniquely) the Hopf map to $\mathbb{R}^4 - \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}^3 - \{0\}$, relaxing the radius constraint so that $y_\mu y^\mu = R^2$, with $R \in \mathbb{R}^+$. On introducing $g = Rs$ we define

$$\pi_{KS} : g \in \mathbb{R}^4 - \{0\} \to \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 - \{0\}, \quad x^k \sigma_k = g\sigma_3 g^\dagger = R^2 s\sigma_3 s^{-1}. \tag{2.10}$$

One can easily verify that this map gives back relations (2.2) up to a factor of 2, with $z_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(y_0 + iy_3)$, $z_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(y_1 + iy_2)$ and the identification

$$x_0 = \frac{R^2}{4}. \tag{2.11}$$

The KS fibration may be used to define the derivations for the algebra of functions $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3 - \{0\})$ as projections of the derivations of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^4 - \{0\})$ [16]. We shall see that this procedure can be generalized to the noncommutative setting. Moreover, with the introduction of the matrix basis, the restriction to $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{0\}$ may be removed, since we shall see that our matrix basis is well defined in $0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ as well.

3 The matrix basis

In [11] a matrix basis for the algebra $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$ has been introduced, as a reduction of the matrix basis of the Wick-Voros algebra $\mathbb{R}^4_\theta$ [18, 19]. The latter is similar to the one introduced for the Moyal $\mathbb{R}^4_\theta$ [20]. In 2-d it is based on the expansion

$$\phi(\bar{z}, z) = \sum_{pq} \tilde{\phi}_{pq} \bar{z}^p z^q \,, \quad p, q \in \mathbb{N} \quad \tilde{\phi}_{pq} \in \mathbb{C} \tag{3.1}$$

to which we associate the normal ordered operator

$$\hat{\phi} = \sum_{pq} \tilde{\phi}_{pq} a^{\dagger p} a^q, \quad \tilde{\phi}_{pq} \in \mathbb{C} \tag{3.2}$$

with $a, a^\dagger$ space-time creation and annihilation operators. The inverse map is simply

$$\phi(z, \bar{z}) = \langle z|\hat{\phi}|z\rangle \tag{3.3}$$

with $|z\rangle$ the coherent state associated to the operator a. Eq. (3.3) defines the Wick-Voros star product as

$$\phi \star \psi(z, \bar{z}) = \langle z|\hat{\phi}\hat{\psi}|z\rangle \tag{3.4}$$

which is associative by construction. It can be given an integral form in terms of an integral kernel (see [18] for details). It is however mostly known in its asymptotic form, already presented in Eq. (2.1).

Thus we generalize to four dimensions, with $a_a, a_a^\dagger$, $a = 1, 2$ and re-express the operator $\hat{\phi}$ in the harmonic oscillator basis, $|N\rangle := |n_1, n_2\rangle$

$$a_1|n_1, n_2\rangle = \sqrt{\theta}\sqrt{n_1}|n_1 - 1, n_2\rangle,\ a_1^\dagger|n\rangle = \sqrt{\theta}\sqrt{n_1 + 1}|n_1 + 1, n_2\rangle, \tag{3.5}$$

$$a_2|n_1, n_2\rangle = \sqrt{\theta}\sqrt{n_2}|n_1, n_2 - 1\rangle,\ a_2^\dagger|n\rangle = \sqrt{\theta}\sqrt{n_2 + 1}|n_1, n_2 + 1\rangle \tag{3.6}$$

so to obtain

$$\hat{\phi} = \sum_{P,Q\in\mathbb{N}^2} \phi_{PQ}|P\rangle\langle Q|\,, \qquad |P\rangle := |p_1, p_2\rangle = \frac{a_1^{\dagger p_1} a_2^{\dagger p_2}}{[P!\theta^{|P|}]^{1/2}}|0\rangle, \quad \forall P = (p_1, p_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \tag{3.7}$$

with $\phi_{PQ} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|P| = p_1 + p_2$, $P! = p_1!p_2!$. Thus the matrix basis in $\mathbb{R}^4_\theta$ is obtained through Eq. (3.3)

$$f_{PQ}(z, \bar{z}) = \langle z_1, z_2|\hat{f}_{PQ}|z_1, z_2\rangle = \frac{e^{-\frac{\bar{z}_1 z_1 + \bar{z}_2 z_2}{\theta}}}{\sqrt{P!Q!\theta^{|P+Q|}}} \bar{z}_1^{p_1} \bar{z}_2^{p_2} z_1^{q_1} z_2^{q_2} \tag{3.8}$$

with $\hat{f}_{PQ} := |P\rangle\langle Q|$. The following fusion rule and orthogonality relations hold

$$f_{MN} \star f_{PQ}(z, \bar{z}) = \delta_{NP} f_{MQ}(z, \bar{z})\,, \qquad \int d^2z_1 d^2z_2\, f_{PQ}(z, \bar{z}) = (2\pi\theta)^2 \delta_{PQ} \tag{3.9}$$

so that the star product in $\mathbb{R}^4_\theta$ becomes a matrix product

$$\phi \star \psi(z, \bar{z}) = \sum \phi_{MN}\psi_{PQ} f_{MN} \star f_{PQ} = \sum \phi_{MP}\psi_{PQ} f_{MQ} \tag{3.10}$$

and the integral becomes a trace

$$\int \phi \star \psi \star ... = (2\pi\theta)^2\, \mathrm{Tr}\, \Phi\Psi... \tag{3.11}$$

$\Phi = (\phi_{MN}), \Psi = (\psi_{MN})$, are infinite matrices. Thus the trace (3.11) needs to be regularized when used in the context of field theory.

The matrix basis of $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$ is obtained as a reduction from the previous one, using the association of the coordinate functions x_μ with the $SU(2)$ generators and their realization in terms of creation and annihilation operators. This is nothing but the quantum version of the KS map. Indeed, given the number operators $\hat{N}_1 = a_1^\dagger a_1,\ \hat{N}_2 = a_2^\dagger a_2$ with eigenvalues n_1, n_2 we have the well known relation

$$n_1 + n_2 = 2j \quad n_1 - n_2 = 2m \tag{3.12}$$

with $j(j + 1)$ and m eigenvalues of $\sum_i \hat{X}_i\hat{X}_i$ and $\hat{X}_3$ respectively. We have then

$$|n_1, n_2\rangle \longrightarrow |j + m, j - m\rangle \ \text{ and } \ \hat{f}_{NP} = |n_1, n_2\rangle\langle p_1, p_2| \longrightarrow |j + m, j - m\rangle\langle\tilde{j} + \tilde{m}, \tilde{j} - \tilde{m}| \equiv \hat{v}^{j\tilde{j}}_{m\tilde{m}}. \tag{3.13}$$

This implies $f_{NP}(\bar{z}, z) \longrightarrow v^{j\tilde{j}}_{m\tilde{m}}(\bar{z}, z)$. For this to be a basis in $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$ we finally impose it to $\star$-commute with x_0

$$x_0 \star v^{j\tilde{j}}_{m\tilde{m}}(z, \bar{z}) - v^{j\tilde{j}}_{m\tilde{m}} \star x_0(z, \bar{z}) = \lambda(j - \tilde{j}) v^{j\tilde{j}}_{m\tilde{m}} \tag{3.14}$$

This fixes $j = \tilde{j}$ and we pose $v^j_{m\tilde{m}} := v^{jj}_{m\tilde{m}}$. We have then

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{j\in\frac{\mathbb{N}}{2}} \sum_{m,\tilde{m}=-j}^{j} \phi^j_{m\tilde{m}} v^j_{m\tilde{m}}(x) \tag{3.15}$$

with

$$v^j_{m\tilde{m}}(x) = \frac{e^{-2\frac{x_0}{\lambda}}}{\lambda^{2j}} \frac{(x_0+x_3)^{j+m}(x_0-x_3)^{j-\tilde{m}}\ (x_1-ix_2)^{\tilde{m}-m}}{\sqrt{(j+m)!(j-m)!(j+\tilde{m})!(j-\tilde{m})!}}. \tag{3.16}$$

Notice however that this expression is not unique, because of the relations between the coordinates x_μ.

Let us notice that the functions expansion (3.15) is well behaved in $x = 0$, it being $\lim_{x\to 0}\phi(x) = \phi^0_{00}$. Thus, on redefining $\tilde{x} = x/\lambda$, $v^j_{m\tilde{m}}(x)$ provides a basis for the commutative and noncommutative algebras of functions on the whole $\mathbb{R}^3$, analogously to the Moyal matrix basis [20], under usual regularity assumptions for the sequence of the coefficients $\{\phi^j_{m\tilde{m}}\}$. The star product (2.5) applied to the basis elements acquires the simple form

$$v^j_{m\tilde{m}} \star v^{\tilde{j}}_{n\tilde{n}}(x) = \delta^{j\tilde{j}}\delta_{\tilde{m}n} v^j_{m\tilde{n}}(x) \tag{3.17}$$

Then, the star product in $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$ becomes a block-diagonal infinite-matrix product

$$\phi\star\psi(x) = \sum_{j,m_1,\tilde{m}_2} \phi^j_{m_1\tilde{m}_1}\psi^j_{m_2\tilde{m}_2} v^j_{m_1\tilde{m}_1}\star v^j_{m_2\tilde{m}_2} = \sum_{j,m_1,\tilde{m}_2} (\Phi^j\cdot\Psi^j)_{m_1\tilde{m}_2} v^j_{m_1\tilde{m}_2} \tag{3.18}$$

the infinite matrix Φ gets rearranged into a block-diagonal form, each block being the $(2j+1)\times(2j+1)$ matrix $\Phi^j = \{\phi^j_{mn}\}$, $-j \le m,n \le j$.

3.0.1 The matrix representation of coordinates

The coordinate functions may be expressed in the matrix basis and their action on the basis is easily computed. On using the expression of the generators in terms of $\bar{z}_a, z_a$, (2.2), we find

$$\begin{array}{ll} x_+ = \lambda\sum_{j,m}\sqrt{(j+m)(j-m+1)}v^j_{m\,m-1} & x_- = \lambda\sum_{j,m}\sqrt{(j-m)(j+m+1)}v^j_{m\,m+1} \\ x_3 = \lambda\sum_{j,m} m v^j_{m\,m} & x_0 = \lambda\sum_{j,m} j v^j_{m\,m} \end{array} \tag{3.19}$$

were we have introduced $x_\pm = x_1 \pm ix_2$. Thus we compute

$$\begin{array}{ll} x_+\star v^j_{m\tilde{m}} = \lambda\sqrt{(j+m+1)(j-m)}v^j_{m+1\,\tilde{m}} & v^j_{m\tilde{m}}\star x_+ = \lambda\sqrt{(j-\tilde{m}+1)(j+\tilde{m})}v^j_{m\,\tilde{m}-1} \\ x_-\star v^j_{m\tilde{m}} = \lambda\sqrt{(j-m+1)(j+m)}v^j_{m-1\,\tilde{m}} & v^j_{m\tilde{m}}\star x_- = \lambda\sqrt{(j+\tilde{m}+1)(j-\tilde{m})}v^j_{m\,\tilde{m}+1} \\ x_3\star v^j_{m\tilde{m}} = \lambda\, m\, v^j_{m\tilde{m}} & v^j_{m\tilde{m}}\star x_3 = \lambda\,\tilde{m}\, v^j_{m\tilde{m}} \\ x_0\star v^j_{m\tilde{m}} = \lambda\, j\, v^j_{m\tilde{m}} & v^j_{m\tilde{m}}\star x_0 = \lambda\, j\, v^j_{m\tilde{m}} \end{array} \tag{3.20}$$

Therefore, the basis we have chosen diagonalizes the two coordinates x_0 (the radius) and x_3 with eigenvalues respectively λj and λm ($\lambda\tilde{m}$ when acting on the right).

3.1 Integration

The definition of the integral in the algebra $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$ is based on [16], where the KS map is used. They observe that π_{KS}, defined in (2.10), defines a principal fibration $\mathbb{R}^4-\{0\}\to\mathbb{R}^3-\{0\}$ with structure group $U(1)$. The fibre is therefore compact. Moreover $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3-\{0\})$ can be embedded into $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^4-\{0\})$ by

$$\pi^*_{KS}: f\in\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3-\{0\})\to f\circ\pi_{KS}\in\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^4-\{0\}) \tag{3.21}$$

with $\pi^\star_{KS}$ the pull-back map. This realizes $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3-\{0\})$ as the subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^4-\{0\})$ of functions which are constant along the fibers. The vector field which generates the fiber $U(1)$

$$Y_0=y^0\frac{\partial}{\partial y^3}-y^3\frac{\partial}{\partial y^0}+y^1\frac{\partial}{\partial y^2}-y^2\frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} \tag{3.22}$$

defines indeed $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3-\{0\})$ as its kernel (it corresponds in the noncommutative case to the inner derivation $[x_0,\cdot]_\star$). It is shown that, given the ordinary volume forms on $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbb{R}^4$, $\mu_3=dx^1\wedge dx^2\wedge dx^3$ and $\mu_4=dy^0\wedge dy^1\wedge dy^2\wedge dy^3$, we have

$$\pi^\star_{KS}(\mu_3)\wedge\alpha_0\propto R^2\mu_4 \tag{3.23}$$

with α_0 the dual form of the vector field Y_0 and volume form on the fiber. With our conventions the proportionality factor is $1/4$. Observing that functions on $\mathbb{R}^3$ are constant along the fiber $U(1)$, we can factorize the integral along the fiber which just gives a factor of 2π, so that we have

$$\int\mu_3\ f=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int\mu_4\ \pi^*_{KS}(x_0)\ \pi^*_{KS}(f) \tag{3.24}$$

where (2.11) has been used.

Therefore, we may generalize to the noncommutative case, assuming (3.24) as a definition,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda} f:=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4_\theta}\pi^*_{KS}(x_0)\ \bullet\,\pi^*_{KS}(f) \tag{3.25}$$

compatible with the commutative limit. The symbol $\bullet$ indicates the two possible choices that we have in generalizing (3.24), which correspond to star-multiply the weight coming from the integration measure, $\pi^*_{KS}(x_0)$, with the integrand $\pi^*_{KS}(f)$ or to use the commutative product. Since x_0 star-commutes with all elements of the algebra, the two definitions only differ by a constant shift, as we shall see in a moment. Let us point out that (3.25) is different from the one in [11], where the factor of $\pi^*_{KS}(x_0)$ is absent. Both definitions are legitimate, but the one proposed here has the advantage of reproducing the usual integral on $\mathbb{R}^3$ once the commutative limit is performed. Eq. (3.25) implies for the basis functions

$$\int v^j_{m\tilde m}(x)=\begin{cases}8\pi\lambda^3 j\ \delta_{m\tilde m} & a)\\ 8\pi\lambda^3(j+1)\ \delta_{m\tilde m} & b)\end{cases} \tag{3.26}$$

where the result a) corresponds to the choice to star-multiply the weight-function x_0 with the integrand whereas the result b) corresponds to choosing the point-wise multiplication. As announced, it amounts to a constant shift. We shall choose the second option in the paper. We thus have

$$\int v^j_{m\tilde m}\star v^j_{n\tilde n}(x)=8\pi\lambda^3(j+1)\delta_{\tilde m n}\delta_{m\tilde n} \tag{3.27}$$

Thanks to these results we obtain, for the integral of the star product (3.18)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda} \phi \star \psi = 8\pi\lambda^3 \sum_j (j+1)\, \mathrm{Tr}_j(\Phi^j \cdot \Psi^j) \tag{3.28}$$

with Tr_j the trace in the $(2j+1)\times(2j+1)$ subspace. Notice that, on performing the sum up to $j = N/2$, with $\Phi^j = \Psi^j = \mathbf{1_j}$ we obtain the result $8\pi\lambda^3 \sum_{n=0}^{N}(n/2+1)(n+1) \simeq \frac{4}{3}\pi\lambda^3 N^3$, which reproduces correctly the volume of a sphere of radius λN.

3.2 Derivations

In order to introduce a dynamics described by an action functional we need derivations. In the commutative case they are obtained by projecting the derivations of the algebra $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ through the KS map (2.10). It may be seen [16] that projectable vector fields are defined by the condition $[D_i, Y_0] = 0$, with Y_0 given in (3.22) . They correspond to the three rotations generators and the dilation

$$\pi_{KS*}(Y_i) = X_i = \epsilon_{ijk} x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\,, \quad \pi_{KS*}(D) = x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \tag{3.29}$$

with $Y_i = y_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} - y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0} - \epsilon_{ijk} y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}$ and $D = y_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\mu}$. The three rotations are not independent since $x_i \cdot X_i = 0$. When passing to the noncommutative case the three rotations are still derivations of the algebra $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$ and may be given the form of inner derivations, but the dilation, in the form of (3.29), is not anymore a derivation. On using the star product (2.5) we have for rotations

$$X_i(\phi) = -\frac{i}{\lambda}[x_i, \phi]_\star\,, \quad i = 1, .., 3 \tag{3.30}$$

which obviously satisfies the Leibnitz rule. Moreover they become independent (even though $x_i \star X_i(\phi) + X_i(\phi) \star x_i = 0$, derivations are not a module over the algebra in the NC case, they are only a left module over the center of the algebra). As for the dilation, it is easy to check that it does not satisfy the Leibnitz rule (for example, on applying it to the star product of coordinates (2.6)-(2.8)).

However, let us notice that there is a way to implement the dilation as a derivation of the star product (2.5). This amounts to enlarge the algebra $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$ to include the noncommutativity parameter λ (see [21] where the construction is performed for the Moyal algebra $\mathbb{R}^4_\theta$). It may be checked that, in such a case, the vector field

$$D_\lambda = x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \equiv x_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} + \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \tag{3.31}$$

is an outer derivation of the enlarged algebra. We have indeed

$$D_\lambda v^j_{m\tilde{m}} = 0 \tag{3.32}$$

it being $v^j_{m\tilde{m}} = v^j_{m\tilde{m}}(x/\lambda)$. This implies

$$D_\lambda \phi = \sum_{jm\tilde{m}} \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \phi^j_{m\tilde{m}} v^j_{m\tilde{m}} \tag{3.33}$$

with the coefficients $\phi^j_{m\tilde{m}}$ depending on λ (see for example the coefficients of the coordinate functions in the matrix basis expansion (3.19)). It is then easy to verify that the Leibnitz rule is satisfied

$$D_\lambda(\phi \star \psi) = \sum \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}(\phi^j_{mn}\psi^j_{n\tilde{n}})v^j_{m\tilde{n}} = D_\lambda\phi \star \psi + \psi \star D_\lambda\psi. \tag{3.34}$$

We shall investigate this new derivation and the possibility of building a new Laplacian on the enlarged algebra elsewhere. It will probably require a modification of the integration measure. In the present paper we shall stick to the three independent derivations X_i, which are the only derivations of the algebra $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$ within the present definition.

4 The Laplacian

It was already noticed in [11] that the dilation, which is needed to describe radial dynamics, is contained in the star multiplication by the coordinate x_0

$$x_0 \star \phi = x_0\phi + \frac{\lambda}{2}x_i\partial_i\phi \tag{4.1}$$

It was thus suggested that the Laplacian operator should contain a term of the kind $x_0 \star x_0$ so to have a term of second order in the radial derivative. There were however two problems. The first is that the relation to the ordinary commutative Laplacian was unclear, the second, is that the new term, $x_0 \star x_0$ is diagonal in the matrix basis $v^j_{m\tilde{m}}$, with eigenvalue $\lambda^2 j^2$. This means that the wanted radial dynamics (which should instead affect the radius, hence it should change j) is not obtained.

Here we tackle the second problem, whereas the issue of the commutative limit is still unclear. We argue that, in order to produce an action on the radius eigenvalue j, the correct term to consider is indeed the one *without* star product $x_0^2\phi$. Let us compute its action on the matrix basis. We find

$$x_0^2 v^j_{m\tilde{m}} = \lambda^2 \left(M^j_{m\tilde{m}} v^{j+1}_{m+1\,\tilde{m}+1} + N^j_{m\tilde{m}} v^{j+1}_{m\,\tilde{m}} + Z^j_{m\tilde{m}} v^{j+1}_{m-1\,\tilde{m}-1} \right) \tag{4.2}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} M^j_{m\tilde{m}} &= \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{(j+m+2)(j+m+1)(j+\tilde{m}+2)(j+\tilde{m}+1)} \\ N^j_{m\tilde{m}} &= \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(j+m+1)(j-m+1)(j+\tilde{m}+1)(j-\tilde{m}+1)} \\ Z^j_{m\tilde{m}} &= \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{(j-m+2)(j-m+1)(j-\tilde{m}+2)(j-\tilde{m}+1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

As we can see, it increases the index j of the matrix basis. The proposed Laplacian acting on a scalar field ϕ is therefore

$$\Delta\phi = \frac{1}{x_0 \star x_0} \star \left(\alpha \sum_i X_i^2\phi + \beta\, \frac{x_0^2}{\lambda^2}\phi \right) \tag{4.4}$$

with α, β real parameters. The star-inverse of x_0 is defined by its action on the matrix basis, as in Eqs. (3.20). As we shall see, it essentially amounts to divide by j. In the following we assume the scalar fields $\phi \in R^3_\lambda$ to be real.

4.1 The scalar action

Let us consider a scalar model with quartic interaction

$$S[\phi] = \int \phi \star (\Delta + \mu^2)\phi + \frac{g}{4!}\phi \star \phi \star \phi \star \phi \tag{4.5}$$

The fields have length dimension equal to -1/2. This action was already considered in [11], though with a different Laplacian. On using the expansion of the fields in the matrix basis (3.15) and the multiplication rule for the basis elements (3.17) we obtain the action in (4.5) as a matrix model action

$$\begin{aligned} S[\phi] &= 8\pi\lambda\Big\{\sum \phi^{j_1}_{m_1\tilde{m}_1}\big(\Delta + \mu^2\mathbf{1}\big)^{j_1 j_2}_{m_1\tilde{m}_1;m_2\tilde{m}_2}\phi^{j_2}_{m_2\tilde{m}_2} \\ &+ \frac{g}{4!}\sum \phi^{j_1}_{mn}\phi^{j_2}_{np}\phi^{j_3}_{pq}\phi^{j_4}_{qm}(j_1+1)\delta_{j_1 j_2}\delta_{j_2 j_3}\delta_{j_3 j_4}\Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where sums are understood over all the indices. As already noticed in [11] the interaction term, being polynomial in the fields, is diagonal in the matrix basis. It amounts to take the trace of the product $\Phi^j\Phi^j\Phi^j\Phi^j$ in the $(2j+1)\times(2j+1)$ subspaces and sum over j with a weight (2j+1), which comes form the integration measure. This weight was absent in [11] because the integration measure was different.

The kinetic operator is not diagonal. It may be verified to be

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta + \mu^2\mathbf{1})^{j_1 j_2}_{m_1\tilde{m}_1;m_2\tilde{m}_2} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda} v^{j_1}_{m_1\tilde{m}_1} \star (\Delta + \mu^2\mathbf{1}) v^{j_2}_{m_2\tilde{m}_2} = \frac{j_1+1}{j_1^2}\Big\{\alpha\delta^{j_1 j_2}\Big(\\ &\delta_{\tilde{m}_1 m_2}\delta_{m_1\tilde{m}_2}D^{j_2}_{m_2\tilde{m}_2} - \delta_{\tilde{m}_1,m_2+1}\delta_{m_1,\tilde{m}_2+1}B^{j_2}_{m_2,\tilde{m}_2} - \delta_{\tilde{m}_1,m_2-1}\delta_{m_1,\tilde{m}_2-1}H^{j_2}_{m_2,\tilde{m}_2}\Big) + \beta\delta^{j_1 j_2+1} \\ &\Big(\delta_{\tilde{m}_1 m_2+1}\delta_{m_1\tilde{m}_2+1}M^{j_2}_{m_2\tilde{m}_2} + \delta_{\tilde{m}_1,m_2}\delta_{m_1,\tilde{m}_2}N^{j_2}_{m_2,\tilde{m}_2} + \delta_{\tilde{m}_1,m_2-1}\delta_{m_1,\tilde{m}_2-1}Z^{j_2}_{m_2,\tilde{m}_2}\Big)\Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

with $M^{j_2}_{m_2\tilde{m}_2}, N^{j_2}_{m_2\tilde{m}_2}, Z^{j_2}_{m_2\tilde{m}_2}$ given in Eqs. (4.3) and

$$D^j_{m_2\tilde{m}_2} = [2(j^2 + j - m_2\tilde{m}_2)] + \frac{\lambda^2}{\alpha}\mu^2 \tag{4.8}$$

$$B^j_{m_2\tilde{m}_2} = \sqrt{(j+m_2+1)(j-m_2)(j+\tilde{m}_2+1)(j-\tilde{m}_2)} \tag{4.9}$$

$$H^j_{m_2\tilde{m}_2} = \sqrt{(j+m_2)(j-m_2+1)(j+\tilde{m}_2)(j-\tilde{m}_2+1)}. \tag{4.10}$$

The use of the matrix basis for $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$ yields an interaction term which is diagonal (i.e a simple trace of product of matrices built from the coefficients of the fields expansion) whereas the kinetic term is not diagonal.

In [11] the scalar action could be written as an infinite sum of contributions, namely $S[\Phi] = \sum_{j\in\frac{\mathbb{N}}{2}} S^{(j)}[\Phi]$, where $S^{(j)}$ and describes a scalar action on the fuzzy sphere $\mathbb{S}^j$. Thus the problem essentially decoupled and could be solved in each $2j+1\times 2j+1$ subspace. The kinetic term was an operator of Jacobi type (a three-diagonal matrix). It was therefore possible to invert it in terms of orthogonal polynomials, and obtain the propagator $P^{j_1 j_2}_{mn;kl}$, defined by

$$\sum_{k,l=-j_2}^{j_2} \Delta^{j_1 j_2}_{mn;lk}P^{j_2 j_3}_{lk;rs} = \delta^{j_1 j_3}\delta_{ms}\delta_{nr}, \quad \sum_{m,n=-j_2}^{j_2} P^{j_1 j_2}_{rs;mn}\Delta^{j_2 j_3}_{mn;kl} = \delta^{j_1 j_3}\delta_{rl}\delta_{sk}. \tag{4.11}$$

The task was achieved by means of the dual Hahn polynomials, which are nothing but fuzzy spherical harmonics. They represent the basis which diagonalizes the kinetic term but not the interaction term.

Here the situation is much more complicated. The action does not decouple into an infinite block-diagonal form. The kinetic term is not a Jacobi operator, since not only the indices $m, \tilde{m}$ vary in each subspace with fixed j, but j itself varies.

5 Summary and Outlook

We have proposed a new Laplacian on the algebra $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$ and computed the kinetic term for a scalar action with quartic interaction. It is interesting to stress once again that the new Laplacian does not generalize trivially the Laplacian of fuzzy spheres, but contains a term which introduces, loosely speaking a dynamics between the spheres. There are however many interesting open problems yet to be addressed.

- In order to perform quantum calculations, we need the propagator. This amounts to invert the kinetic action, which, differently from our previous experience, is not of Jacobi type, therefore it cannot be inverted with standard techniques involving orthogonal polynomials.
- Besides this problem, which might be difficult to solve, but is essentially of technical nature, the issue of the commutative limit for our operator is for the moment unclear to us. In order to perform it correctly it is not enough to replace star products with commutative products and $\lambda = 0$ everywhere, since the fields depend on λ and the matrix basis is homogeneous in x/λ. A rescaling procedure should be probably more appropriate.
- Another interesting direction is the possibility of defining an outer derivation representing the dilation, which is a star-derivation at the price of enlarging the noncommutative algebra with the parameter λ itself. This was already considered in the literature [21] and amounts not to fix the noncommutative parameter in the spirit of [2]. With the aid of this outer derivation it might be easier to construct a Laplacian which as a standard commutative limit, but many problems, such as the nature of the algebra and the integration measure need to be fixed.

Acknowledgments: We thank F. Lizzi, G. Marmo, P. Martinetti and J.-C. Wallet for discussions and constructive comments.

References

[1] http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Noncommutative_quantum_field_theory

[2] S. Doplicher, K. Fredenhagen and J. E. Roberts, "Space-time quantization induced by classical gravity "Phys. Lett. B**331** (1994) 39.
S. Doplicher, K. Fredenhagen and J. E. Roberts, "The Quantum structure of space-time at the Planck scale and quantum fields," Commun. Math. Phys. **172** (1995) 187 [hep-th/0303037].

[3] M. Bronstein, "Quantum theory of weak gravitational fields," Gen. Rel. Grav. **44**, 267 (2012).

[4] C. Rovelli and L. Smolin, "Discreteness of area and volume in quantum gravity," Nucl. Phys. B **442**, 593 (1995) [Erratum-ibid. B **456**, 753 (1995)] [gr-qc/9411005].

[5] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, "String theory and noncommutative geometry," JHEP **9909**, 032 (1999) [hep-th/9908142].

[6] O. Hohm, D. Lust and B. Zwiebach, "The Spacetime of Double Field Theory: Review, Remarks, and Outlook," Fortsch. Phys. **61**, 926 (2013) [arXiv:1309.2977 [hep-th]].

[7] S. Minwalla, M. Van Raamsdonk and N. Seiberg, "Noncommutative perturbative dynamics," JHEP **0002** (2000) 020 [arXiv:hep-th/9912072]; A. Matusis, L. Susskind and N. Toumbas, "The IR/UV connection in the non-commutative gauge theories," JHEP **0012** (2000) 002 [arXiv:hep-th/0002075]; I. Chepelev and R. Roiban, "Renormalization of quantum field theories on noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^d$. I: Scalars," JHEP **0005** (2000) 037 [arXiv:hep-th/9911098].

[8] H. Grosse and R. Wulkenhaar, "Power-counting theorem for non-local matrix models and renormalisation", Commun. Math. Phys. **254** (2005) 91; H. Grosse and R. Wulkenhaar, "Renormalisation of ϕ^4-theory on noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^2$ in the matrix base", JHEP **0312** (2003) 019; H. Grosse and R. Wulkenhaar, "Renormalisation of ϕ^4-theory on noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^4$ in the matrix base", Commun. Math. Phys. **256** (2005) 305.

[9] M. R. Douglas and N. A. Nekrasov, "Noncommutative field theory," Rev. Mod. Phys. **73**, 977 (2001) [hep-th/0106048].

[10] R. J. Szabo, "Quantum field theory on noncommutative spaces," Phys. Rept. **378**, 207 (2003) [hep-th/0109162].

[11] P. Vitale and J. -C. Wallet, "Noncommutative field theories on R^3_λ: Towards UV/IR mixing freedom," JHEP **1304**, 115 (2013) [arXiv:1212.5131 [hep-th]].

[12] A. Géré, P. Vitale and J. -C. Wallet, "Quantum gauge theories on noncommutative 3-d space," arXiv:1312.6145 [hep-th].

[13] A. B. Hammou, M. Lagraa and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, "Coherent state induced star product on R**3(lambda) and the fuzzy sphere," Phys. Rev. D **66**, 025025 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0110291].

[14] J. M. Gracia-Bondía, F. Lizzi, G. Marmo and P. Vitale, "Infinitely many star products to play with," *JHEP* **0204**, 026 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0112092];

[15] P. Kustaanheimo and E. Stiefel, "Perturbation Theory of Kepler Motion Based on Spinor Regularization", J. Reine Angew. Math. **218** (1965) 204.

[16] A. D'Avanzo, G. Marmo and A. Valentino, "Reduction and unfolding for quantum systems: The Hydrogen atom," Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **2**, 1043 (2005) [math-ph/0504033].

[17] A. Wick-Voros, "Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin method in the Bargmann representation", Phys. Rev. A **40** 6814 (1989).

[18] F. Lizzi, P. Vitale and A. Zampini, "The Fuzzy disc," JHEP **0308** (2003) 057 [hep-th/0306247]. "The Beat of a fuzzy drum: Fuzzy Bessel functions for the disc," JHEP **0509** (2005) 080 [hep-th/0506008].

[19] A. Tanasa and P. Vitale, "Curing the UV/IR mixing for field theories with translation-invariant $\star$ products," Phys. Rev. D **81**, 065008 (2010) [arXiv:0912.0200 [hep-th]].

[20] J. M. Gracia-Bondía and J. C. Várilly, "Algebras of distributions suitable for phase space quantum mechanics. I," J. Math. Phys. **29** (1988) 869; "Algebras of distributions suitable for phase-space quantum mechanics. II. Topologies on the Moyal algebra," J. Math. Phys. **29** (1988) 880.

[21] J. M. Gracia-Bondia, F. Lizzi, F. R. Ruiz and P. Vitale, "Noncommutative spacetime symmetries: Twist versus covariance," Phys. Rev. D **74** (2006) 025014 [Erratum-ibid. D **74** (2006) 029901] [hep-th/0604206].